This is not a nice sonata for a nice piano player, “ wrote Charles Ives about his most famous work, “but something the writer had long been thinking about.”

Long indeed: Ives, the backbone of the Ives and Myrick Insurance Co. (which later became Mutual of New York), began what became the Concord Sonata in 1904, wrote most of it in 1911 and 1912, and finished it in 1915. Then in 1919 he extended the work still further by writing Essays Before a Sonata, intended to be published along with it; the book is one of the most provocative and illuminating aesthetic documents ever written by a composer. The world waited even longer for an audition: Lenore Purcell performed isolated movements between 1920 and 1929, but not until 1939 did John Kirkpatrick give the entire Sonata its New York premiere. In 1948, Kirkpatrick recorded the work for Columbia, and the disc was a best seller for months.

All for movements were programmatically conceived around figures in the Transcendentalist movement (c.1936-1860) in Concord, Massachusetts. The “Emerson” movement had begun as a piano concerto, the soloist representing Ralph Waldo Emerson, and the orchestra, the masses listen to him; “Hawthorne” was conceived for “a piano or a dozen pianos; “The Alcotts” for organ or piano with voice or violin; and “Thoreau” for strings colored by flute or horn.

Much of the Concord Sonata’s radicalness stems from its reversal of the usual European-based pattern, which moves from unity to multiplicity, stating a theme simply at first and adding complexities later. Ives instead starts with complexity, then gradually strips his textures down to their essential strands. The logical basis for his music is not the prepared dissertation, but the spontaneous argument, in which issues that are at first muddy gradually come into focus. Along with the Second String Quartet and the Fourth Symphony, the Concord is a quintessential example of this form—its maximum density lies in the opening pages, while by the “Alcotts” movement that texture has thinned to passages of disarmingly naïve lyricism. To end with a matter-of-fact statement, though, would be a contradiction of Ives’ evolutionary epistemology, and “Thoreau’s” touching tonal ambiguity (is the last page in D-flat or C?) ultimately leaves everything in doubt.

It’s true that in “Emerson” the “paragraphs don’t cohere,” as Ives said of the writer’s prose: “Each sentence points not to the next, but to the undercurrent.” The “phantasmal” side of Nathaniel Hawthorne (depicted with large, quite tone clusters played with a 14-inch board) inspired Ives to utilize a stream-of-consciousness technique that anticipated James Joyce’s similar methods of construction for Ulysses and Finnegan’s Wake. The idea that linear thought falsifies reality was a major premise of Ives’ philosophy, and he justified his polythematicism by asserting that “being close to a truth precludes being close to a truth.” Nevertheless, the Concord’s alleged lack of unity is something Ives himself overstated in his own defense; the charge obscures the technical mastery in the music, the incredible profusion of invention, development, and variation.

Most of the myriad themes can be traced back to two motives stated before the first bar line; the falling, almost pentatonic octave series with which the left hand opens, and the famous four-note motive from Beethoven’s Fifth, a motive that Ives said characterized “the soul of humanity knocking at the door of the divine mysteries, radiant in the faith that it will be opened.” The former motive plunges into the bass to create tension in “Emerson,” and then in “Thoreau” wafts down from the heights to dissipate it. This pentatonic fragment becomes a beautiful octave-displaced song in the middle of “Emerson” (a movement divided into passages of “prose” and “poetry”), is disguised as “Columbia, the Gem of the Ocean” in “Hawthorne,” and later, with the first three notes inverted, becomes the introverted flute melody in “Thoreau.” Meanwhile, the Beethoven theme is transformed from a propulsive contrapuntal device in “Emerson” to a hymn like melody in “The Alcotts.”

One of the most wide-ranging piano works ever written, the Concord is also one of the most intuitively unified. Despite his use of such avant-garde devices as polytonality, sound clusters, and un-metered rhythm, Ives remained a true Hegelian romantic, as is apparent from his idealist exclamation, “My God! What has sound got to do with music!” And as a work at once supremely romantic and technically innovative, the Concord Sonata sexists at, and helps define, that exquisite moment when romanticism, in feverish pursuit of its ideals, erupted into modernism.
Few recent piano works can stand next to the Concord so well as Maurice Wright’s Sonata of 1982. Like Ives, Wright draws complexity from the simplest materials—in this case, a falling, four-note scale figure and a series of (usually five) repeated notes, both present in the opening chords. In the first movement both figures make up a slow, simple (if dissonant) introductory melody, leading to a lightning-quick rhapsody fueled by the scale motive turned upwards. The second movement begins with that same rising motive built up haltingly, and marked “as if asleep...” The powerful third movement alternates between quintuply repeated chords and the scale motive, now marching up and down in military gait. In between there are lyrical movements: The first movement is graced by a lilting second theme in triple meter, the second by a quite, minor-key chorale enlivened by chromatic inner voices.

These contrasts, however take place within a consistent idiom; in Wright’s music, even the softest passages are tinged with dissonances that never quite resolve, while underneath the most frantic arabesques lies an undisturbed sense of clear, linear counterpoint. Wright’s use of pianistic sonority is similar to that of Ives, but Wright is as much a classicist as Ives is a romantic.

Wright’s ideas – thoughtful, gritty, and quick to break into fantasy – develop within a well-calculated symmetry, audible here in the separation and recombination of motives, the second movement’s quasi-palindromic form, and the finale’s literal repeat of it’s opening three pages. To follow this interplay of textures as they shift, dart away, and return, is to hear the qualities that make Wright one of the most subtle and eloquent of recent composers.

Kyle Gann, a composer and critic, is new-music columnist for The Village Voice
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